Passed by School of Dramatic Art AAU Council, June 8, 2018.
Revised and passed by School of Dramatic Art AAU Council, March 1, 2019.
Revised 23 October 2019; 22 April 2020
Revised and passed by School of Dramatic Art AAU Council, November 19, 2020
APPROVED BY UCAPT - MARCH 1, 2021

# University of Windsor School of Dramatic Art Renewal Tenure, and Promotion RTP Faculty Criteria

#### **Supporting Guidelines**

Criteria for renewal, promotion and tenure are based on Senate Bylaws 23A & 23B

The School of Dramatic Art identifies the focus of CVs of its tenure-track faculty in the following ways:

- (a) CV with a creative activity focus, teaching, and service.
- (b) CV with a scholarly activity focus, teaching, and service.
- (c) CV with a mix of creative activity and scholarly activity, teaching, and service.

A choice of CV will be determined by the candidate prior to the first performance review.

A candidate may choose to change the focus of their CV in consultation with the Director of the School of Dramatic Art.

#### **Teaching**

The SODA places a great deal of value on the quality of teaching as demonstrated through a record of consistency in the candidate's commitment to good teaching, and in their effectiveness in promoting learning. (Bylaw 23A). A teaching dossier is required as a means of providing evidence for evaluation through the following: a statement of teaching philosophy, teaching practices, assessment strategies, sample course outlines, all departmental performance reviews, a narrative of reflective practice, a plan for future development, and any additional relevant samples of work that support the candidate's teaching. The candidate is recommended to follow the UCAPT's teaching dossier template. SETs will be provided by the Director of the School of Dramatic Art.

#### **Research and Creative Activity: School Productivity Standard**

The School acknowledges that its faculty's professional creative activity and areas of research and scholarship vary. Submission of a research statement that outlines a plan for development leading towards tenure and promotion will help to assess the professional quality, pace and quantity, and recognition of quality by one's peers over the tenure track period and beyond. It is the candidate's responsibility to make a case for his or her tenure and promotion. The research statement should be discussed in consultation with the Director of the School during the first year of the review period for guidance in meeting the required expectations of tenure and promotion. The research plan may be amended as necessary in conjunction with the Director.

#### **Creative Activity**:

The candidate participates in professional creative activity at the provincial, national and international levels to an extent that demonstrates a level of proficiency in their area which promises future development and professional impact on the discipline and industry. Creative activity in the following categories will involve professional activities outside the department/university in a variety of areas recognized by industry and disciplinary standards as peer reviewed, juried or invited. By time of tenure and promotion, a minimum of 4-6 projects should be completed. The expectation is that this rate of productivity will continue at the ranks of Associate and Full Professor, i.e., at a rate of 4-6 projects over each five-year period. From time to time, not all projects will fall within the guidelines below, and in those circumstances, each case will be considered, impartially, on a case-by-case basis.

- Acting (prominent role) in professional theatre
- Choreography (professional theatre)
- Design (professional theatre)
- Published illustrations, refereed/invited exhibitions of designs.
- Directing (professional theatre)
- Dramaturgy (professional theatre)
- Playwriting (realized professional production)
- Voice and/or movement coaching (for professional theatre)

- Applied Drama and/or Applied Theatre research-creation projects, in collaboration with public educations institutions and/or community non-profit organizations, which include a mode for knowledge mobilization to the local community, theatre profession, and/or scholarly audience.
- Self-produced or commissioned work will be assessed on a case by case basis, considering professional/non-professional; referred/non-refereed; creative roles (listed above)

#### **Scholarly Activity**:

The candidate participates in scholarly activity to an extent which promises future development and professional impact on the discipline at the national and international levels. Scholarly peer-reviewed activity will include evidence such as, but not limited to, journal articles, book chapters, papers in conference proceedings, papers delivered, electronic publications, panels, workshops, and juried poster session. By time of tenure/promotion a minimum of 5 publications should be completed. It is expected that this rate of productivity, that is an average of 5 publications over a 5year period, will be maintained at the ranks of Associate and Full Professor. Focus of publications may include, but is not limited to, traditional research, reflection on practice or pedagogy. The publication of a book as a primary author or editor of an anthology or reputable journal will be given greater consideration and will be assessed on a case by case basis.

The School of Dramatic Art also recognizes that certain faculty members may engage in a mix of creative and scholarly work. By the time of tenure and promotion, between 4-6 creative projects/scholarly publications, in any combination should be completed. It is expected that this rate of productivity, namely, a rate of 4-6 creative projects/scholarly publications every five years will be maintained at the ranks of Associate and Full Professor. These should be consistent with the Criteria Teaching, Scholarly and Creative Activity, and Service on pages 4-20.

#### <u>Service</u>

Candidates should be active in terms of their service contributions and show a willingness to engage in intellectual and co-active dialogue with faculty, staff, and students. They should effectively contribute to the general well-being of the AAU and the University as a whole.

Service in the SODA is assessed in terms of service to the School, the University, the greater local community, and to the professional community. The level of contribution and leadership to the School, the University, the Community, and the profession rather than the mere number of committees a candidate has served upon will be considered. Projects outside of teaching include but are not limited to:

• Committee work for at the AAU level, at the faculty level, and at the university level

- Working with community groups and organizations
- Contributions to the professional community
- Arts administration and leadership to the department, faculty, university levels
- Supervision of students enrolled in Directed Studies courses (DRAM 3510- 3530)
- Voluntary coaching at University Players and/or Works-in-Progress (DRAM 3210 3240) and DRAM 4520 (Coaching).
- Recruitment activities undertaken on behalf of the School or the Faculty.

#### **Tenure and promotion to Associate Professor:**

Overall, the candidate's application for tenure and promotion to Associate Professor will demonstrate an overall pattern of <u>high</u> <u>competence</u> in teaching, scholarly and/or creative activity, and service Expectations. The chart below denotes the possible combinations of levels of achievement according to the Criteria Teaching, Scholarly and Creative Activity, and Service on pages 4 – 20.

	Excellence	High competence	Competence (or above)
1	Teaching Research/Creative		Service
2		Teaching Research/Creative	Service
3	Teaching	Research/Creative	Service
4	Research/Creative	Teaching	Service

#### Promotion to Full Professor:

The candidate's application for Full Professor will demonstrate evidence of sustained High Competence in Teaching, Excellence in either teaching OR, scholarly and/or creative activity with High Competence in the other, and service which is at or above the expectation for

rank of Associate Professor. Expectations and examples are noted (FP) in the Excellence column of the Criteria for Teaching, Scholarly and Creative Activity, and Service.

#### SCHOOL OF DRAMATIC ART RTP (2018) CRITERIA FOR TEACHING

Teaching Evaluation Rubric: Evidence gathered through teaching dossier (course outlines, teaching practices, teaching philosophy, assessment practices), department head's performance review, E-CV, peer review, SET scores

#### **Criterion #1: Design and planning of learning activities**

Planning, development and preparation of learning activities, learning resources and materials for a course, or degree program, including coordination, involvement in leadership or curriculum design and development.

#### (FP) Additional criteria for promotion to Full Professor

INDICATORS	COMPETENCE	HIGH COMPETENCE	EXCELLENCE
Preparation of course materials  Must demonstrate all of the following:  - effective and well-aligned learning materials and activities that enhance the learning experience, and provide opportunity for meaningful learning and reflect diversity of content  - learning activities foster student acquisition of a course's intended learning outcomes  - Reasonable knowledge of the course content and material,	Some evidence of capacity to design effective and well-aligned learning materials and activities intended to foster student acquisition of a course's intended learning outcomes.  Planned activities and assignments demonstrate reasonable knowledge of the course content and material.	Learning materials are consistently well-aligned with intended learning outcomes and reflect an informed approach to course design that effectively supports student acquisition of a course's intended learning outcomes.  Planned activities and assignments consistently and appropriately challenge students.	Learning materials and activities are exceptionally well designed and are often innovative, reflecting leadership in curriculum development and pedagogical innovation; Learning materials, activities, assignments, and assessment align with the intended learning outcomes and provide flexibility to further challenge.  Deep knowledge of the course content and material is consistently evident.  (FP/AAS IV) Demonstrates innovative teaching practices that reflect informed and relevant academic, professional, and industry standard curriculum

Course outlines clearly detail learning outcomes, teaching and learning activities and assessment  Must demonstrate the following:  - compliance with bylaw and policy. Course outlines show alignment between learning outcomes and assessment strategies	Course outlines are generally consistent with bylaw and policy, and outline intended learning outcomes, activities and assessments with a degree of clarity.	Consistently in compliance with bylaw and policy, outlines show the alignment of materials, activities and assessments with intended course learning outcomes with clarity.	Consistently in compliance with bylaw and policy; course outlines clearly explain how materials, activities, and assessment align with the intended learning outcomes.
Planned learning activities designed to develop the students' learning  Must demonstrate the following:  - Teaching practices and approaches demonstrate a variety of methods employed to enrich learning in the Theory-based, studio, and/or applied courses  - organization and preparedness	Teaching practices generally demonstrate a variety of methods that enrich the student learning experience;  Generally well-prepared for class and well-organized.	Teaching practices clearly demonstrate a variety of methods and approaches that enrich learning experience; consistently well-prepared for class and well-organized	Teaching practices consistently and effectively demonstrate capacity to enrich the learning experience; practices also demonstrate a range of methods and flexibility to further support or challenge learners; consistently very well-prepared and organized in regard to all aspects of course development  (FP/AAS IV)Demonstrated evidence of promoting artistic and academic leadership of students. (Some examples: including students in academic and/or professional conferences; coaching students in developing and producing, and/or designing their own professional artistic work.)

#### **Criterion #2: Instructional Methods**

Teaching methods support fundamental principles as well as current practices of the discipline

INDICATORS	COMPETENCE	HIGH COMPETENCE	EXCELLENCE
Learning-centered approach:  Must demonstrate the following:  - understanding and application of specific aspects of effective teaching and learning support methods  - Clarity of communication and explanation that supports students to develop and demonstrate the intended learning outcomes.  - Stimulation of interest  - Encouragement of appropriate student-faculty and student-student interaction	Some awareness of effective methods to support student learning. Evidence of clarity of communication for the most part. Student interest is generally maintained over courses. Teaching practices demonstrate some effort to encourage interaction between student-faculty student- student.	Consistent awareness and application of effective approaches to supporting and enhance learning. Clarity of communication is evident and student interest is maintained. Teaching practices demonstrate consistent effort to encourage interaction between student-faculty and student-student.	Highly effective and often innovative support and enhancement of learning is evident. Exceptional clarity; student interest nearly always increased, or course feedback indicated high level of interest in the course; consistent evidence of highly effective and innovative efforts to encourage student-faculty and student-student interaction

### **Criterion #3: Assessment and giving feedback to students**

Assessment practices and techniques are representative of appropriate level and align with intended learning outcomes

INDICATORS	COMPETENCE	HIGH COMPETENCE	EXCELLENCE
Quality of assessment tools	Assessment practices and	Assessment activities are	Assessment activities are clear, well
	activities demonstrate	generally clear, well-aligned	aligned, appropriately challenging,
Must demonstrate the following:	consistency in terms of	with learning outcomes,	and provide innovative opportunities
	clarity, alignment, or	and appropriately	for student learning.
- Clarity	appropriateness of challenge,	challenging for the course	
	that are generally reasonable	level.	Feedback is proactive, ongoing, and
- Alignment with learning outcomes	for the course level.		timely; student feedback and other
		Student feedback Indicates	evidence indicates assignment
- Appropriate level of challenge	Student feedback indicates	that assignment feedback is	feedback is appropriate to the nature
The second secon	that assignment feedback is	timely and occurs several	of the course, is detailed and
- Timely feedback is provided to students	generally timely and	times through the course;	balanced appropriately with
Timer, resultant to provide to state the	appropriate to the nature of	as appropriate to the	strengths and weaknesses and
- Constructive feedback is provided to students	the course and generally	nature of the course.	provides systematic and highly
provided to students	provide useful guidance to		effective guidance regarding how to
	help students improve.		improve.

### Criterion #4: Developing effective environments, student support and guidance

A demonstrated record of availability to students and effectiveness in providing mentorship and counselling

INDICATORS	COMPETENCE	HIGH COMPETENCE	EXCELLENCE
- Availability for consultation (e.g. email, online, face-to-face or telephone)	Somewhat available outside of class time.	Available to students outside of class time with evidence of systematic approaches to ensuring availability to students.	Makes exceptional and systematic efforts to be available to students.
Effective advisor/counsellor	Somewhat effective as an advisor or student counsellor.	Generally perceived by students and peers to be effective, supportive, and knowledgeable as an advisory or student counsellor	Recognized by students and peers as a key advisor and student counsellor (FP/AAS IV) Demonstrated commitment to coaching and mentoring students for future academic and professional pursuits. (some examples: pathways to suitable graduate programs and/or additional post graduate training in specific areas of specialization)

# Criterion #5 Integration of scholarship, research and professional activities with teaching and in support of learning. Recognize and apply research and discipline-based practices in planning and instruction.

INDICATORS	COMPETENCE	HIGH COMPETENCE	EXCELLENCE
- Inclusion of discipline-based research in the curriculum and engagement of students in pedagogically sound discipline-based research  discipline-based research	Discipline-based research or creative practice is somewhat evident in the course curriculum and is somewhat current; there is some evidence that course activities are intended to engage students with disciplinary research, creative practice, or inquiry culture. Where applicable, this may include effectiveness in undergraduate student research supervision.	Discipline-based research or creative practice forms a regular and integrated part of the curriculum; evidence of consistent and effective efforts to engage students with disciplinary research, creative practice, or inquiry culture. Where applicable, this may include effectiveness in undergraduate student research supervision.	Discipline-based research is frequently and effectively incorporated in the course; evidence of highly effective, systematic efforts to engage students in disciplinary research, creative practice, or inquiry culture. Where applicable, this may include effectiveness in undergraduate/ graduate student research supervision, as well as support and mentorship of students presenting or publishing their work.  (FP/AAS IV Demonstrates evidence of leadership in curriculum development. (some examples: publication of teaching practices that contribute to the area of artistic/academic discipline; plenary presentation at national or international conference on teaching and learning).

- Incorporation of professional, industry and work-based practice and experiences into teaching practice and the curriculum experiences incorporated curriculum.	te and work-based practice and practice and experiences are very effectively incorporated in the
--	--

### **Criterion #6 Evaluation of practice and continuing professional development**

Interest and effort to engage in professional development that improves teaching

INDICATORS	COMPETENCE	HIGH COMPETENCE	EXCELLENCE
Must demonstrate one of the following:	Some evidence of participation in professional	Consistent efforts have been made to engage in	High degree of engagement and initiative with regard to teaching-related
- Participation in teaching related professional	development workshops,	professional development	professional development, which may
development	forums, conferences, or peer- led activities intended to	related to teaching systematically over time,	include leadership and facilitation of workshops and other events, as well as
OR	enhance teaching and	e.g., self-directed reading,	peer-reviewed conference presentations
- Self-evaluation leading to changes in teaching practice	learning.	workshops, forums, conferences, or peer-led	or publications on teaching and learning, and potentially grants related to
- Sen-evaluation leading to changes in teaching practice	Able to provide several	activities intended to	teaching and learning initiatives;
	examples of changes to	enhance teaching and	
	teaching practice based on reflection or engagement with	learning.	Evidence of an ongoing commitment to improvement-oriented and evidence-
	professional development.	Evidence of a consistently	based practices based in a scholarly
		thoughtful and reflective approach to teaching, with	approach to teaching and teaching inquiry.
		ongoing examples of	(FP/AAS IV)) Continues with
		efforts to improve teaching emanating from	professional development of highest level in area of specialization OR
		that approach.	(FP) leads workshops or training in area
			of artistic and/or academic
			specialization at the national and international level.

### **Criterion #7: Professional and personal effectiveness**

Interest and effort in management of teaching role and maintaining professional qualities

INDICATORS	COMPETENCE	HIGH COMPETENCE	EXCELLENCE
Is aware of and consciously developing professional qualities of: Taking ownership and management of teaching role	Shows many of these professional qualities	Consistently shows these professional qualities	Shows these professional qualities to a very high degree
Demonstrating effective preparation and prioritization			
Demonstrating commitment to continuing professional development in discipline and T & L			
Responding positively to opportunities and new approaches			
Communicating effectively in both formal and informal contexts			
Application of professional ethical practices in work and in teaching contexts			
Personal qualities Is aware of and consciously developing personal qualities of: • Approaching teaching with enthusiasm, passion and confidence	Shows many of these personal qualities	Consistently shows these personal qualities	Shows these personal qualities to a very high degree.
Demonstrating resilience and perseverance in the face of obstacles			
Demonstrating time management of self and work to ensure others are not delayed in their work			
Demonstrating self-reflective evaluation of practices and relationships			
Demonstrating commitment and interest in students and their learning			

Review period expectation: Competence to High Competence

Tenure/Promotion to Associate Professor expectation: High Competence to Excellence

Promotion to Full Professor- Excellence

#### SCHOOL OF DRAMATIC ART: RTP CRITERIA FOR SCHOLARLY AND CREATIVE ACTIVITY

Scholarly and Creative Activity Evaluation Rubric: evidence gathered through research or creative activity plan, ECV, Director's performance reviews, peer review and any other supplementary portfolio materials provided by the candidate.

# Criterion 1: /Expertise in research or creative area, relevant methodologies and effective and ethical project management

and/or creative activity plan, and a history of successful execution of the plan.  statement of scholarship and/or creative activity that  statement of scholarly/creative activity that statement that  scholarly/creative activity activity that statement that	INDICATORS	COMPETENCE	HIGH COMPETENCE	EXCELLENCE
development leading towards tenure and promotion  development leading towards tenure and promotion  development of scholarship and/or creative activity acceptable for tenure and promotion.  development of scholarship and/or creative activity acceptable for tenure and promotion.  development of new expanding reach an clear evidence of lear evidence of continuation (e.g. pending public)	An active or well-constructed research and/or creative activity plan, and a history of successful execution of the plan.	Research or artist statement of scholarship and/or creative activity that indicates future development leading towards tenure and	Clearly focused and well-articulated research or artist's statement that indicates future development of scholarship and/or creative activity acceptable for tenure	EXCELLENCE  Well-articulated and successful scholarly/creative activity agenda; evidence of goals being met and exceeded. Pattern of ongoing reevaluation and planning reflecting the development of new directions and expanding reach and depth.  Clear evidence of leadership in meeting the agenda and strong evidence of continuing productivity (e.g. pending publications, artistic projects, grant submissions)

Criterion 2: A record of peer reviewed publications, and/or creative activity or other demonstrated scholarly outputs. External peer reviews will occur during the tenure process.

INDICATORS	COMPETENCE	HIGH COMPETENCE	EXCELLENCE
<ul> <li>Publishes in journals or with publishing houses with a strong academic record</li> <li>AND/OR</li> </ul>	Publications are reviewed, and generally published in well-respected journals or through publishing houses with high quality academic reputations.	Publications are published in journals or with publishing houses with strong national or international reputations.	A strong record of publications are published in journals or with publishing houses of elite national or international reputations.
- Professional creative activity external to the department/university in significant venues, based on peer review	Meets: Creative activity sometimes occurs in venues, institutions, and series that the degree of reputation and significance established by the department, based on peer review.	Meets or Exceeds: Creative activity occurs in venues, institutions, and series that the degree of reputation and significance established by the department, based on peer review sufficient for tenure and promotion.	Exceeds: A strong record of creative activity in venues, institutions, and series that exceed the standards of reputation and significance established by the department, based on peer review.
<ul> <li>Pace and quantity of publications or creative activity is consistent with disciplinary standards for strong scholarly and creative performance</li> </ul>	Typically has met the departmental productivity standard	Consistently met and in some areas exceeded the departmental productivity standard.	Consistently exceeds the departmental productivity standards (FP)Demonstrated evidence of scholarship produced in nationally or

internationally recognized peer reviewed journals and/or comparably recognized publishing houses.  (FP)Demonstrated creative activity produced by peer reviewed festivals, and theatre companies. Selfproduced work followed by realized production will be assessed on case by case basis as noted under
'creative activity' (pg.2) in document.

Criterion 3: Evidence of Independent and original contributions to research or creative activity, which have an impact on the field of expertise

INDICATORS	COMPETENCE	HIGH COMPETENCE	EXCELLENCE
- Original contributions to the field of	Some evidence of	Evidence of original	Evidence of major original
study or creative practice that influence	original	contributions that are	contributions with significant impact
thinking and/or practice in the field	contributions to the	influencing the	within the discipline or through
	field with some	evolution of the field,	practical applications.
	evidence that the	practice, or thinking	
	research or creative	within the discipline or	
	activity has been	as practical	
	read, considered,	applications;	
	referred to by others		
	in the field or as the		
	basis for practical		
	applications;		
		Strong evidence of	
- National recognition/leadership within	Some evidence of	national recognition	Strong evidence of national and
the area of research specialty	emerging	within the area of	emerging international recognition
	recognition within	research/creative	either in the area of research/creative
	the area of	activity including	activity including keynotes, guest
	research/creative	invitation to give	residencies, major and highly
	activity specialty,	keynote addresses,	competitive research fellowships,
	including potential	offer master classes,	residences or exchanges.
	invitations to give	guest artist	(FP)Innovative practices or
	addresses,	performances,	approaches to the study of theatre
	residencies, or	fellowships, major	and drama OR innovative artistic
	research		styles are adopted by others.
	partnerships.		

residencies or exchanges.

Criterion 4: Creation of research opportunities, collaborations, and capacity through community partnerships, research funding if appropriate to the discipline

INDICATORS	COMPETENCE	HIGH COMPETENCE	EXCELLENCE
- Ability to attract internal or external	Submission of	Secure funding of	History of regular, repeated and
research or creative activity funding	external grant	external research	evolving success in major granting
	proposal and	grants	competitions, including those
	internal or external		considered the most highly
	grant requests.		competitive within the discipline,
			given the career stage of the
	OR		candidate.
AND/OR		OR	(FP)Awards, honours, or prizes that
	Some degree of		speak to the quality of work
- Ability to foster partnerships that	community,	Strong degree of	OR
directly contribute to research or	industry, or	community, industry,	
creative activity capacity or the	academic	or academic	Exceptional degree of community,
development of research or creative	partnerships that	partnerships that	industry, or academic partnerships
activity infrastructure	contribute to	contribute to research	that contribute to research capacity
	research capacity	capacity materially,	materially, creatively, or intellectually.
	materially,	creatively, or	
	creatively, or	intellectually.	(FP) Demonstrated evidence of
	intellectually.		contributions to the profession
			through invitations to consult (e.g.
	OR		jury member of Canada Council,
		OR	SSHRC, etc.).
AND/OR	Some evidence of		
	grant or contract		

-	Engagement in grant or contract	research resulting in published or realized	Strong evidence of	OR
	research or creative activity resulting in published or realized material that advances the field or profession	material that advances the field.	grant or contract research resulting in publishable material that advances the field.	Exceptional evidence of grant or contract research resulting in publishable material that advances the field.
				(FP)Demonstrated record of grants from significant granting councils

Review period expectation: Competence to Highly Competent

Tenure/Promotion to Associate Professor expectation: High Competence to Excellence

Promotion to Full Professor expectation: Excellence

#### SCHOOL OF DRAMATIC ART RTP EVALUATION OF SERVICE

## Criteria: Willingness to engage in service and effectively contribute to the department and university and profession as a whole

Service in the SODA is assessed in terms of service to the School, the University, the greater local community, and to the professional community. The level of contribution and leadership to the School, the University, the Community, and the Profession rather than the mere number of committees a candidate has served upon will be considered

1) Typically – and the Committee will recognize that this may be higher in specific, specialized positions – approximately 20% of a faculty member's workload is devoted to service. However, the assessment of service considers more than time served: as with all aspects of promotion and tenure criteria, the nature, quality and impact of the individual's contributions to the institutional mission of the School, the University, or academic or professional society are also considered. Individuals make contributions to the institutional mission in diverse ways, contributing to collegial governance and to the necessary management, fostering, and enhancement of scholarly practice, knowledge and creation and knowledge mobilization as these occur within the institution, in the community and in relevant

professional or disciplinary societies. These contributions can take many forms. In addition to evidence of a spirit of willing cooperation to participate in an equitable number of committee assignments, the Committee will assess the quality and depth on an individual's contributions to service, taking into account dimensions such as:

- Degree of consistency and flexibility in assuming service roles where the individual's knowledge and good judgment could benefit the Faculty
- The individual's effectiveness in forwarding projects and objectives of service
- Effectiveness in collaboratively forwarding projects and objectives of service and/or in building teams and networks to further the
  institutional mission through service
- Degree of leadership, responsibility and agency demonstrated, in both formal and informal roles
- Evidence of a reputation for excellence and integrity in service
- Scope of service beyond the departmental or local level
- 2) Committee membership should be assessed in light of the individual's actual service through that committee and the degree of activity of the committee. Membership on committees that were not active in the year of membership does not constitute a service contribution but might be seen as contributory evidence of willingness to serve. Candidates are strongly encouraged to briefly describe the nature of service work undertaken rather than simply providing titles or committee names.
- 3) Service contributions to the development, operation and management of academic programs may overlap with contributions to teaching or research. For instance, the development of course infrastructure, streams or academic programs have clearly defined and interconnected teaching service components. Candidates are welcome to apply these contributions as they see fit to make their case but should be aware that the Committee will consider the degree to which contributions are being attributed to multiple elements of their case.
- 4) As with other aspects of these RTP criteria, the Committee will take an equity-informed approach to its deliberations.

Projects outside of teaching would include but not limited to:

- Committee work for at the AAU level, at the faculty level, and at the university level
- Working for the AAU in areas of recruitment, auditions, chairing academic committees, and promoting the profile of the SODA
- Working with community groups and organizations

- Contributions to the professional community
- Arts administration and leadership to the department, faculty, university levels
- Serving as a Reviewer for RPT candidates from other universities
- Supervision of students enrolled in Directed Studies courses (DRAM 3510- 3530)
- Voluntary coaching at University Players and/or Works-in-Progress (DRAM 3210 3240) and DRAM-4520
- Voluntary service in recruitment such as school visits, working Open House, SODA and FAHSS Recruitment events.

# Criterion 1: Service and leadership contributions to the University, in particular through the lens of the <u>mission</u>, <u>vision</u>, and <u>values</u> of the School of Dramatic Art

Indicators	Standard:	Standard:
	Associate Professor (Level A)	Promotion to Full Professor (Level B)
Department activity (AAU Council) + any one other AAU Committee (e.g. Appointments, RTP or other)	Department activity + any two per year that includes one outside department	Department activity + 3 or more per year that includes one outside department and/or within the profession.  (FP)Department activity (AAU Council) + 3 or more on average per year including one outside the Department. (Some of these activities should demonstrate leadership.)

Review period expectation: Competence to High Competence

Tenure/Promotion to Associate Professor expectation: High Competent to Excellence

Promotion to Full Professor expectation: High Competence to Excellence

	Excellence	High Competence	High Competence or above
1	Teaching		Service
	Scholarly or Creative Activity		

2	Teaching	Scholarly or Creative Activity	Service
3	Scholarly or Creative Activity	Teaching	Service