## The Association Between Sentence Repetition and Other Cognitive Abilities in School-Aged Children



Alicia N. Bartlett, Joseph E. Casey, Emily O'Connor-Derikozis, Mila Huhtala

University of Windsor



## Introduction

## Methods

- Although sentence repetition (SR) tasks are commonly used in child neuropsychological assessment batteries, the cognitive abilities associated with performance are not well understood
- SR has historically been conceptualized as a measure of learning and memory, but empirical research indicates that children's SR performance is related to language, auditory verbal memory, and processing speed
- 117 children were recruited from schools in southwestern Ontario
- Eligibility requirements included English proficiency, normal or corrected-to-normal vision and hearing, and being between the ages of 6 and 14 years
- Parents completed:
- A methodological limitation of these studies is that none has examined these cognitive domains together
- **PURPOSE:** To determine the extent to which language, auditory verbal working memory, processing speed, and nonverbal cognitive ability predict children's SR



A brief demographic questionnaire

• Children completed:





Five WISC-V subtests: Digit Span, Vocabulary, Figure Weights, Visual Puzzles, and Coding

Results							
Table 1: Sample Demographic Characteristics			Table 2: Summary of Multiple Regression Analysis				
N (%)	M (SD)	Range		B	SE <sub>B</sub>	Beta	% Explained
117 (100)			Intercept	8.30	5.12	-	_
47 (40)			Digit Span	1.82	.32	.43*	20.8
			Vocabulary	1.52	.32	.37*	17.8
Female 71 (60)			Figure Weights	.12	.27	.03	1.8
	9.64 (2.2)	6 – 14 years	Visual Puzzles	.16	.40	.03	1.7
	103.5 (13.6)	59 – 138	Coding	.06	.27	.02	.9
	N (%)	N (%) M (SD)   117 (100) -   47 (40) -   71 (60) 9.64 (2.2)	N (%) M (SD) Range   117 (100) - -   47 (40) - -   71 (60) 9.64 (2.2) 6 - 14 years	N (%)M (SD)Range117 (100)InterceptIntercept47 (40)InterceptDigit Span71 (60)9.64 (2.2)6 – 14 years0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Sample Demographic CharacteristicsTable 2: Summary of NN (%)M (SD)Range117 (100)Intercept8.3047 (40)Intercept8.3071 (60)Intercept1.829.64 (2.2)6 – 14 yearsFigure Weights.12Visual Puzzles.16InterceptIntercept	Sample Demographic Characteristics Table 2: Summary of Multiple Reg   N (%) M (SD) Range B SE <sub>B</sub> 117 (100) Intercept 8.30 5.12   47 (40) Intercept 1.82 .32   71 (60) Intercept 1.52 .32   9.64 (2.2) 6 – 14 years Visual Puzzles .16 .40	Sample Demographic Characteristics Table 2: Summary of Multiple Regression An   N (%) M (SD) Range   117 (100) Intercept 8.30 5.12 -   47 (40) Intercept 1.82 .32 .43*   71 (60) Image Vocabulary 1.52 .32 .37*   9.64 (2.2) 6 – 14 years Visual Puzzles .16 .40 .03

\**p* < .001

- A multiple linear regression model with all independent variables significantly predicted SR performance, F(5,111) = 18.89, p < .001, adj. R<sup>2</sup> = .44
- Vocabulary and Digit Span significantly added to the prediction, whereas Figure Weights, Visual Puzzles, and Coding did not
- With the advantage of including cognitive domains identified in previous studies within a single study, the findings support that SR is more than a measure of learning and memory

Conclusions

- When considering cognitive abilities that might contribute to SR performance, the findings suggest that attention and word knowledge play a prominent role
- Interpretation of SR performance should be considered in the context of a comprehensive neuropsychological evaluation

Presented at the 47th Annual Meeting of the International Neuropsychological Society New York, NY, February 2019

